



applied their mind on the question of nature and gravity of the irregularities before passing the order for confiscation of the entire stock of 36 bags of rice. The learned Advocate for the petitioner relies on the decision of this Court in case of Arvindkumar & Brothers Vs. State of Gujarat and Others, reported in 195(1) G.L.H. 833.

3. I have gone through the the impugned orders. There appears to be justification in the contention raised by the petitioner. The authorities have not addressed themselves to the question of confiscation of the entire stock.

4. In view of the aforesaid, I set aside the order dated 24-8-1994 annexure 'B' and order of the appellate authority dated 19-10-1995 annexure 'A' and the matter is remitted back to the Deputy Collector, Gondal with the direction to hear the petitioner and pass afresh order in light of the observations made in the case of Arvindkumar & Brothers Vs. State of Gujarat & Others, reported in 1995(1) G.L.R. 833. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent, with no order as to cost.

-0-0-0-0-0-